

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,328	02/09/2004	Maeve Murphy	5485C1	8518	
7590 12/28/2007 GENERAL MILLS, INC. Number One General Mills Blvd.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WONG, L	WONG, LESLIE A	
Minneapolis, MN 55426		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
·					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	12/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/774,328	MURPHY ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		/Leslie Wong/	1794		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ Applicati 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 30-39 and 52-79 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 30-39 and 52-79 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration i	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is object	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

10/774,328 Art Unit: 1794

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless. -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-39 and 52-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fleury et al (US Patent No. 5820903).

Fleury et al teach a method of preparing a calcium fortified yogurt using a calcium phosphate in powder form having a mean diameter of less than 65 μ m (see entire document, especially the claims and the Examples). Fleury et al also teach that the dairy product is free of a fruit ingredient and that the product may be aerated and frozen (see entire patent, the claims, especially claims 10, 15, and 25).

The claims appear to differ as to the recitation that the calcium phosphate comprises particles having a mean diameter of ≤6 µm. The teaching of Fleury et al encompasses that of the claimed invention wherein the claimed diameter would be no more than' inherent and/or obvious to that of Fleury et al.

10/774,328

Art Unit: 1794

The addition of calcium phosphate to dairy products is notoriously well-known in the art. Applicant is using well-known calcium phosphate to obtain no more than expected results.

The recitation that the product is made by a new process, if the process were indeed new and patentable, does not render an otherwise unpatentable product new and patentable. It is pointed out that the rejected claims are product claims and not process claims. The product must stand on its own invention, independently of the process of producing same. See In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 195; In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964; Ex parte Jungfer, 18 USPQ 2nd 1976.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results; see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re- Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/774,328

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

LAW

December 18, 2007